

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 GBT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 ROBERT WARREN JACKSON, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:20-cv-02078-APG-VCF

**Order Directing Removal of Ex Parte
Designation**

8 Defendants Gregory Bauer, Robert Jackson, and RWJ Advanced Marketing, LLC filed
9 two ex parte motions. ECF Nos. 26, 27. However, the filings do not comply with Local Rule IA
10 7-2 regarding ex parte filings. The motion does not “articulate the rule that permits ex parte
11 filing [or] explain why it is filed on an ex parte basis.” LR IA 7-2(b). There is no explanation
12 why these documents are filed on an ex parte basis, and there does not appear to be any reason to
13 do so. Consequently, I direct the clerk of court to remove the ex parte designation and to make
14 these documents publicly available.

15 I THEREFORE ORDER the clerk of court to remove the ex parte designation on ECF
16 Nos. 26 and 27 and to make those documents publicly available.

17 DATED this 20th day of January, 2021.

18 

19 **ANDREW P. GORDON**
20 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
21
22
23